App. F. IX-4

192 8.

File No. 11-28

Serial Nos. 1-23

Previous References

Mng 165-23-1-7 [illegible] 11, 17, 18, 20, 23, 24, 31-34
" " 75-30
" " 14, 15, 16 & 19
" " 21 & 27 & 35-

Later References

Mng 175-23-3 & 4
" " 1-2
Mng 1-85-1-6
Mng 192-29-1
" 133-30-1-2
Mng 140-60-1-9.

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Serial Nos. 23

Part B for Mng 1929.

SUBJECT

Deputation of Body-Guard to Sri Sringeri Math free of Cost.

Rec'd 12th dated 18-7-29

To the Agent Sri Sringeri Math.

Directed to pay the balance of Rs 384-8-0 to you after adjusting the dues.

47

G. R. S. APP F.III—1] 1928.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Muzrai)

NOTES.

File No. 11/1928.

FILE HEADING.

Deputation of Barr guard to Sri Srungeri matt free of cost.

FROM

The Agent of the Matt.

D.O. No. 2998 Dated 3.7.1928. Received

(addressed to the Dewan).

Diary No.	Enclos.	Spare copies	Serial No
47			1

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER

States that the chief Comr. has claimed Rs 4617 and odd from Octr 1926 to June 1928 towards the cost of 2 Barr guards, one to the temple, and the other to the matt, which Sri matt is not liable to pay, and that as regards the

NOTES AND ORDERS.

Barr guard at Srungeri, it may be either given free or may be continued on payment of a lump sum of Rs 56/ as contribution instead of Rs 120 according to the revised scale.

Member's order on the Secretariat—

NOTES—First Sheet.

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 11 of 192 8

Serial No. 1

"Let all Ref. quoted be put up and requests noted on."

Refs. mentioned in the Agent's Comn have been put up.

D In G.O no 1289 1-8 Dy 2 4 19 The C. C's proposal to recover Rs 120/- being the full charges of the Barr Guard doing duty at the Sri mati as per revised Scale, in lieu of Rs 56/- which the mati was previously paying, was ~~ordered to be~~ recovered accepted with effect from August 1921 - The Agent of the mati

H sent up a representation no. 166 Dy 20-5-1924 requesting that in a re-consideration of the said order, the original amount of Rs 56/- only might be recovered and in para 3 of his present Comn, he states that the above representation is still pending. This statement is not correct, for

9 G.O. Dy 14-8-24 (which is addressed to the Agent also) declines to comply with the mati's request, but directs prompt recovery of arrears

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

WD 01714—GPB—30,000—18-4-28

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 11 of 1928.

Serial No.

from April 1924 instead of Aug. 21. It is not known at what date the Mall has been paying Contribution since 1924, but the Agent now requests either the free grant of the Guard as in the case of Sri Parakala Swamy Mall or its Continuance on payt of the original Contribution of ~~Rs~~ 56.

3 The Agent further states that the C. C has sent a Bill for Rs 441 and odd from Octr 1926 to June 1928 which Sri Mata is ~~not~~ stated to be not liable to pay.

4 Orders solicited as to whether a detailed report may be called for from the C. C. in the Several Representations Contained in the present Comn from the Agent Submitted.

AV
12.7.1928.

The matt has a permanent Sarr Guard since 1885 consisting of 2 N.C Os and six Sepoys detailed from the Regt

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

WD 01714—GPE—30,000—18-4-28

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. 11 of 1928.

Serial No.

Infantry and the actual cost of the guard which amounted to Rs 56/- per month was being paid by the as per order passed in 1885. In August 1921, the scale of pay of the different ranks in the State Service was revised and according to the revised rates, the pay of the guard at the mill amounted to Rs 80/- per mensem. On a reference from the Chief Commandant, Govt passed orders on 2.4.24, that the charges at the revised rates may be recovered from the mill. Thereupon a bill for Rs 384/- was sent by the Chief Commandant claiming revised rates from August 21 to the end of March 1924. The agent of the mill wrote to Govt. pleading inability to pay at the enhanced rate and requesting that the contribution of the mill on this score may be permanently fixed at Rs 56/- per month irrespective of any variations in the scale of the pay of the guards which may be ordered from time to time. Govt. however declined to grant the request regarding the restriction of months charges.

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

WD 01714—GPB—30,000—18-4-28

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. 11 of 192 8

Serial No.

Flag A 11

but directed that the recovery at the enhanced rate be made from 1st April 1924 instead from August 1923 as the P.W.D. the Agent of the mills pleaded ignorance of this G.O. which was passed on his representation & of which a copy was sent to him, & prays that account of the antiquity & importance of the mills, that the Bar grant may be given to the mills free of cost on the condition that Parakalamukh at Mysore or other alternative continue the existing practice of recovering Rs 56/- as contribution from the mills.

6 Again when the Jagat Prince left Trichur in January 1924 on tour in the State, an escort of one Risaldar from the Mysore Horse consisting of 1 Duffadar, 1 Lance Duffadar & 8 Sowars & an Infantry guard consisting of 2 N.C. Officers & 16 Sepoys was given to him, the mills being made liable for payment of only the extra charges resulting from the arrangement such as batta & forage for the date of their leaving Head Quarters

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

WD 01714—GPB—30,000—18-4-23

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 11 of 192 8

Serial No.	
1	to the date of their return as per fo. 89 3.1.24 three military detachments accompanied the Swamiyar when touring in the Mysore State, part of the Madras Presidency, & remained to which & returned home some three years later - In the P.W.D. the agent of the mill states that the Chief Commandant has sent up a claim for Rs 4417 odd for the period from Oct. 1926 to June 1928 towards the cost of two bare guards one attached to the temple & the other to the mill. The details of this claim have not been furnished & no reference has been received from the Chief Commandant about this matter. The agent is perhaps not right when he says that the claim relates to the cost of two bare guards. It evidently relates to one cavalry & one Infantry detachment which accompanied the Swamiyar on tour in respect of which the mill had to bear certain charges as per fo. 2 3.1.24 but the agent

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

WD 01714—GPB—30,000—18-4-28

Serial No.

contend that the mill is not liable for any charges under this G.O.

As for the permanent pound at Sirsipur, it is not clear whether the present claim includes its charges also from Oct. 1926, and whether any claim has been made at all for the rental charges from April 1924 to Oct. 1926. The Asst. has made no mention of this, but it is improbable that he has made any payment at all since he has all along been contesting the entire old claim & has pleaded ignorance of the G.O. of 14.4.24.

The Chief Commandant may perhaps be asked to make that point clear.

The Mutt Manager in his ask for a free grant or pay up the prescribed amount - Major Wynne & others in Cochin the annuities paid some years ago. is not justifiable. The Mutt can not do more than ask for interest payments though they may ask for a privilege or honor

Note—Contn. Sheet.

WD 01714—GPR—30,000—18-4-28

8

47

App. F. III—2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 11 of 1928.

Serial No.



The details relating to the claim may first be ascertained.
(for Munshi)
14/7

10 A. Yes much 15/7/28 h
Dupl 15/7

D/7 Submd
14/7/28.
14/7/28

14/7

2 To,
Chief Commandant, Mysore State Troops
No 1054-B
Forwards copy of the above details for report & return.

3. From, the Chief Commandant, Mysore State Troops.
Letter no. H. 31 29 2.8.28.
Submits copies of statements giving the details of the present claim for Rs 4417-10-0, preferred by the Military Dept. and Solicits Orders of Govt in the matter.
Submits for orders

Sic S

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

8.28

WD 01714—GPB—30,000—18-4-28

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. 11 of 192 8

Serial No.

(3) The letter of the Chief Commandant does not make the situation clear.

X Flag A (6) Mr G.O.C. in C. 7.24 directs that claims for the permanent Barabaris but of the tuttle may be prepared at Rs 10/- per month from 1st August 1924, but from the statement received from the C.C it is seen that the claim varies from 122 to 129/- per month due it is said to the variation in the scale of pay of the guards and it covers a period from April 1926 to March 1928. Nothing has been mentioned regarding the claim for the period from 1.8.24 to end of Sept 1926 in the letter

X Flag K A claim for Rs 2132-0-0 has been made account of the seven guards for beat of the mill. The guard apparently of the one but the treasury for a portion of his town through the State along with a detachment of sowars. The claim made as per only the seven guards & it is not known whether any claim has made in respects of the sowars

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

WD 01714—GPB—35,000—18-4 28

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 11 of 192 C.

Serial No.

who also accompanied the convoy.

(6) The convoy which left Quetta on 10th January 1924 but the claim prepared is for the period from Oct. 1926 to January 1928. It is not known why no claims were prepared from Jan. 1924 to Oct. 1926, and further why the claim has been confined up to end of January 1928 unless the convoy's tour terminated on that date.

(7) The C.C. may perhaps be asked to make the following points clear:—

(1) whether payment has been made in respect of the personal baggage and the kunjuds from Aug. 1924 to Sept. 1926 both inclusive at the revised rate of Rs 10/-.

(2) Whether any claim has been made in respect of one Risaldar from the Mysore Horse which accompanied the convoy in his tour.

(3) ~~The convoy left on tour in January 1924~~ whether the claim for the infantry guard that accompanied the convoy.

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

WD 01714—GPB—30,000—18-4-28

App. F. III—2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 11 of 1928.

Serial No.

[illegible] for the period from June 1924 to Oct. 1926 has been preferred.

(4) Whether cavalry & infantry Brigades returned after the completion of the Camp of Instruction.

Typed

[illegible] on the lines indicated by the D.S.O. Secty. is submitted.

For personal signature

8/8/28.

(Asst. Secretary)

13/8

It is not necessary to address the Agent at this stage on the lines proposed. Some more information has to be obtained from the Chief Commandant.

G.O. No. 34 dated 2nd April 1885 is required for reference.

It was decided in the Order dated 14th August 1924 that the Mutt should pay the full charges and that recovery at enhanced rates should be enforced from 1st April 1924. In the statements now sent by the Chief Commandant, the claim commences from October 1926. It is necessary to ask him to state now the claim for the period from 1st April 1924 to 30th

13 AUG. 1928 No 1063

R

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

WD 01714—GPB—30,000—18-4-28

General and Revenue Secretariat. Muz. File No. 21 of 192 8

Serial No.

30th September 1926 has been dealt with. Was it preferred by the Military Department and paid by the Mutt?

Both the statements sent with the Chief Commandant's letter dated 2nd August 1928 refer to Barr Guards. He may be requested to quote the G.Os. on the authority of which these Guards have been furnished to the Mutt.

In letter No. 722 dated 19th December 1923 the Chief Commandant was authorised to furnish one Biradari of Silledars and an Infantry Guard to accompany the Head of the Mutt on his tour. The Chief Commandant may be asked to state if all the ~~monthly~~ claims on account of the supply of this Biradari and Guard, calculated on the lines approved by the G.O. No.928-30/Muz. 165-23-6 dated 9th January 1924, have been paid by the Mutt.

Was the Infantry Guard supplied for accompanying the Head of the Mutt on his tour in addition to the two Barr Guards, the recovery of the pay of which is now under discussion?

It is stated that the cheque for Rs 756-6-0 drawn in favour of the Agent of the Mutt has not been sent to him. As there is a counter-claim against the Mutt, the payment for which this cheque is drawn and for subsequent dues on the same account action need not

NOTE—Contd. Sheet.

WD 01714—GPB—30,000—18-4-29

General and Revenue Secretariat. Muz. File No. 21 of 192 8

Serial No.

not be made until the whole question is settled. The CC may be informed accordingly.

The Agent is not right in stating that his representation dated 20th May 1924 is still pending disposal. The request made in that representation was refused and orders passed so far back as August 1924. This point may be considered in finally deciding the matter.

The Agent states that the Parakala Swami Mutt at Mysore has been furnished with a free Barr Guard. Whether this is a fact, has to be ascertained. The Muzrai Commissioner may be addressed on this subject and information obtained from him as to whether such a Guard has been supplied and if so, from what date, what is its strength and what is the authority on which the free grant rests.

Muz. 2. 17 1885 containing G.O dd 2.4.1885 is submitted—

2 Offs.— one to the Ch. Comm.r
The other to the Dy C., one submitted.

NOTE—Contn sheet

D 0321 -GPB-60,0 0 -21 5 27

General and Revenue Secretariat. Mar. File No. 11 of 1928-29

Serial No.	
4	To. Chief Commandant. M.S.F. No 418 Dt 22.8.28 Calls for further info. in the matter.
5.	To. Mar Cour No 4.9 Dt 1.2.28 Enquires whether a Bandaram is supplied to Sri Parakala matt free of cost & if so what is its strength & under what authority the same is supplied.
6.	From, The Mag. Coun., Letter no. 373 Dt 22.9.1928. Reports with ref to Sl. 5, That a Barr Pahare is deputed from the Palace free of cost for the watch and ward of Sri Parakala Swamy Matt. Further report called for from the C.C. in Sl 4 may be awaited.

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

Serial No.

7 From, the Agent of Sri Sringeri matt
Application dt 4-11-28, (addressed to the Dewan)
Requests the continuance of the
guard free of all charges against
the Sri matt, failing which, at least
on recovery of Rs 56 per mensem
as heretofore as contribution in that
behalf.

Members order on the application:—
Here is some correspondence on the
subject. In what stage is the file?
The connected file is submitted
the C. C's reply, is awaited.
Submit
AV
16-11-1928.

8 From, the chief Comdt,
Letter no FL. 102 dt 18-11-28.
Submits further in pursuance Calls
for in Sl. 4.
It is seen from the chief
Commandant's letter that out of
total demand of Rs 3582-3-0
from April 1924 to
end of Septr 1926 as per monthly
Statements, a sum of Rs 392 was
paid by the matt, leaving a balance
of Rs 3190-3-0.
Submit
AV
17-11-28.

NOTE – Contn. sheet

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Muz. File No. 11 of 1928.

Serial No.

The Agent's letter dated 21st September 1928 shows that claims relate only to three items viz: Infantry guard permanently attached to the temple at Sringeri and the two guards (one Silledar and another Infantry) deputed during the Swami's tour.

The following points arise for consideration and orders in regard to these:

(1) Pay of the Barr Guard lent to Sringeri temple.

In G.O. dated 14th August 1924, it was decided that the Matt should pay the full charges viz: Rs.120 per month for the above guard and that recovery at enhanced rates should be enforced from 1st April 1924 in lieu of Rs. 56/- which the Matt was previously paying.

The Chief Commandant reports that out of a total demand from April 1924 to end of September 1926 as per monthly statements, viz: Rs. 3,582-3-0, a sum of Rs. 392/- has been received, the balance due by the Matt being Rs. 3,190-3-0. As for arrears due from October 1926 to the end of March 1928, a bill for Rs. 2,285/- has been sent to the Matt for payment. The contention of the Matt is that they are liable to pay the previous rate of Rs. 56/- a month if Government are not prepared to allow the Guard free as in the case of Sri Parakala Matt.

As regards Sri Parakala Matt, it is reported that a set of Barr Pahare consisting of 6 Sepoys and 2 Officers is deputed from the Palace free of cost for

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

WD 01714—GPB—30,000—18-4-28

Serial No.

for the watch and ward of the Matt, and if the Sri Sringeri Matt wants a similar concession, it will have to move the Palace authorities in the matter.

As for the present contention to pay at the previous rate of Rs. 56/-, the Matt put in a revision

14 application in May 1924 for a reconsideration of the G.O. dated 2nd April 1924 directing the levy at the enhanced rate of Rs. 120/- with effect from August 1921, but the matter was finally set at rest by G.O. dated 14th August 1924 which directs the levy at the enhanced rate from 1st April 1924 instead of August 1921.

The Agent's present request for payment of arrears subsequent to April 1924 also at the uniform rate of Rs. 56/- is for orders. This has to be recovered at Rs. 120/- under the existing orders.

(2) As regards the Swamy's tour, the Chief Commandant supplied as ordered by Government, one Bardari of Horse, and an Infantry Guard as a mark of honor. It was ordered that their pay should be met from Government, the Matt being liable to pay Batta &c. from the date of their leaving head quarters to the date of their return. The Chief Commandant has sent up another bill to the Matt for Rs.2132-4-0 being the pay of the Barr Guards lent to Matt. This appears to the a mistake as Govt. have to bear their pay.

15 In para 2 of his letter dated 21st Sept. 1928,

the

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

WD 01714—GPB—30,000—18-4-28

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Muz. File No. 11 of 192 8.

Serial No.

the Agent explains the details of the payments made towards the "feed and keep" of horses and the Batta of the Infantry Guards, and the arrears due by the Matt.

If any arrears in this behalf are still due to Government for payment to [illegible] concerned the Chief Commandant may be requested to recover the amounts and close the accounts finally.

/By Member/ 28/11

NOV 1928 No. 3147

The Agent requests that the Barr Guard permanently attached to the Mutt, may be furnished free, or if it should be charged for, the old rate of Rs 56/- per mensem may be continued to be levied. This question was fully considered in 1924 and decided against the Mutt. It was held that the Mutt should pay the full monthly charge of Rs 120/-. The Agent requested ~~for~~ a re-consideration of this order and on re-consideration as well, it was held that there was no reason to supply the Guard either free or at a reduced cost, and that the Mutt should pay the full charge commencing from April 1924. The Agent is, therefore, not correct in stating that his representation dated 20th May 1924 is still pending consideration. His attention

may

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

WD 01714—GPB—30,000—18-4-28

General and Revenue Secretariat. Muz. File No. 11 of 1928.

Serial No.

may be drawn to the G.O. dated 14th July 1924, a copy of which was furnished to him at the time. I do not think there is any reason to re-open the question now. The Mutt has to pay the full cost of the Guard as decided in the Order dated 14th July 1924.

In reply to para 7 of his letter dated 3rd July 1928, the Agent may be informed that no Barr Guard is supplied by the Military Department to the Sree ParaKala Swami Mutt at Mysore.

As regards the claim of the Chief Commandant on account of the pay of one Second Barr Guard which accompanied the Swami when he was on tour, the Agent's contention that the Mutt is not liable to pay the salary of the ten men deputed, appears to be correct. The attention of the Chief Commandant may be invited to the G.O. on this subject and he may be asked to cite the authority, if any, on which this claim has been preferred.

The cheque for Rs 756-6-0 stated to have been drawn in favour of the Mutt, and other payments, if any, due to it by the Military Department, have to be adjusted towards the dues of the Mutt on account of the pay of the permanent Infantry Guard attached to the Mutt.

The case may be submitted to the Dewan with reference to the Agent's letter dated

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

WD 01714—GPB—30,000—18-4-28

3rd

741 P
In 4400

20

App. F. III—2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Muz. File No. 11 of 1928.

Serial No.

DEWAN'S OFFICE.
27. NOV. 1928
No. 2324

3rd July 1928 to him.

Dewan

24/11/28

24/11

Sec.

27-11-28

25/11

Offs. Secretary (which may perhaps issue from Secy. Secy. Secy. 30/11/28 Secy. time [illegible] in connection with Muzaffarnagar [illegible] Number)

28 3/12

Draft appd

A. Rijvi

Secy 4.12.28

28 4/12

FIRST
4 DEC 1928
No. 3375

According to G.O. No. 20. P/2897-8 dated 175-23-2 dated 2.4.24 the full charge of the Financial Secretariat is [illegible]

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

WD 01714—GPB—30,000—18-4-28

Serial No.

7.

The full Monthly Charges exceed Rs 1200/- (vide flag L) the words "of Rs 1200/-" in the [illegible] to be omitted and the words to be substituted by the words "Rs 1200/-" & the word "Ten" be changed to "8" as the strength of the Body Guard is 8 & escorts of His Highness can [illegible] was as on 2 Nos & 6 Men (vide Govt No. 928-30/Mus 165-23 dt. 9.1.1924)

AA
5/12/28 (Genl Secy)

dss 5/12/28

Mir

The Finance Member is given at all. It is not necessary.

The letter may issue

Rd 12/12

Muzrai Branch)

dss 28/12/28

Mir
10/12

9. To Chief Commissioner, Mysore & S. Troops No 1161 dt 14.12.28

Forwards copy of the above forwarded letter for information & guidance.

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

WD 01714—GPB—30,000—18 4 28

1152

App. F. III—2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | New File No. 11 of 1928-29

Serial No.

10. To. Asst of the matt
No 1162 dt 14.12.28
States that there is no reason to re open the question that the matt should pay the full cost of the ...

11. From, the Asst. Sr. Surgeri matt
Letter no 431 dt 16/1/1929.
States That he asked for the continuance of the favor of the payment of a stipulated amount which the matt was paying for the Barr guard for a period of over 40 years and requests that if the govt cannot continue it, the S.O. relating to the payment of full cost of the guard may be brought into effect from the beginning of 1929.

12. From, the chief Comdt, letter no. H.102 dt 25.1.29.
Requests final orders in the

On the above representation (8 & 11) to enable him to make the necessary Orders solicited on the Request of the matt Contained in Sl 1 Endorsement. (The Member has in ... Para 4 (c) of the Note considered ... that the Sanction ... to payment at enhanced rate ... cannot be refused.

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

WD 01714—GPE—30,000—18.4.28

1152 39-

Fin 6126

App. F. III—2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 11 of 192 8

Serial No.

FD. No. 4636

If the order is to be passed as proposed in the draft prepared by the Asst. Public Prosecutor he also be consulted.

1st Member)

22/2

61 A- Yes.

The Motts request may be granted and the case settled finally.

Sd/-
2.2.29

62 Draft plan. Which may please and the Public Lett for Commandant

22/2

63 D/D. G.O. submitted

Dl 9.2.29

Mitchell
for Members

11/2

22/2

Sir, I am to say that the draft seems to be in order.

KP 12/2

3rd Member)

7/2/29

11/2

19/2

RG 19.2

L. S. G Branch)

19/2/29

20/2

NOTE - Contn. sheet

WD 021—GPB—30,000—21-8-28

Serial No.

12. To. Mer Comr, Compr Chief Commandt, Mysore State Troops & Agent of the Matt No 1709-12 dy 25-2-29 states that the reductions in the rates & charges cannot be sanctd & directs that the levy at enhanced rates [illegible]

B
LW
11/3

B

13 From, the Chief Comdt. Letter no H. 102 dy 8.3.29. Reports that as directed in Sl. 9, a sum of Rs 756-8-0 due to the Matt, was credited to Military Deposits, and that the Matt has paid in full at the old rate to the end of Dec 1928, and requests when whether the above amount may be adjusted towards the amounts due by the Matt from Jany. 29. Submitted for orders.

AV 18.3.29.

LW 18/3

They be approved

18/3/29

DD 18/3

5600

Yes

16.3.29

DD 18/3

Dr Submitted

AV 19.3.29

LW 19/3

DD 19.3

NOTE—Contn. sheet

WD 021—GPB—30,000—21 8 28

D. 1624 25

App. F. III—2]

General and Revenue Secretariat | Reg File No. 11 | of 1929

Serial No.	
14	To The Chief Commdt No 1880 dt 20-3-29 Replies to the affirmation
15.	From, the Agent, Application (to the P. S. to the Dewan). Prays that as a re-consideration of orders conveyed in Sl. 12, the mail may be allowed to pay at the old monthly ~~payment~~ rate of Rs 56/ in respect of the Baar Guard doing duty at the mail. Submits. To ~~the~~ the Agent has 28/3/29. perhaps the information that the orders passed cannot be reconsidered. (for Registrar) Dewan

DEWAN'S OFFICE
2 - APR. 1929
No. 4459

6021

5661

28/3

1/4

1-4-29

25 — Nil 11-4-29

Dmpt

1-ff a/c to Secy
Mr Lawrence
to attend
all the
Rs 1,77

73

Ind Case

7/4

Dft. end. submits.

To 18/4/29. pc

18/4

13/4

WD 021—GPB—30,000—21-8-28

NOTE—Contn. sheet

General and Revenue Secretariat.

App. F. III—2]

File No. 11 of 1928

Serial No.

To the Agent, Sri Singeri mall

Letter no. 2295 dt 22.4.29.

States that the previous order

Re the payment of charges in respect of the Bar guard doing duty at the mall, cannot be re-considered.

O.M. nos. 2296 and 2297 dt 22.4.29.

Forwards copies of the above to (1) ch. Cmdt and (2) M.C. for information.

From, the Agent, Singeri mall.

Letter no. 682 dt 27.3.29.

makes further representation

Re the adjustment of Rs 756 and odd due to the mall.

From SR 14, it is seen that a sum of Rs 756-6-0 due to this mall, has been credited to military deposits, and the C.C. has permitted to adjust this amount towards the amounts due by the mail from January 1929 in respect of the Bar-guard doing duty at the mall.

The Agent states as a re-consideration The sum of Rs 756-6-0 in question may be paid to the mall for

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

WD 01714—GPB—30,000—18-4-28

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 4 of 1928

Serial No.

Reasons stated by him—

The Agent makes suggestions in the matter of the disbursement of the pay of the guard, and requests orders thereon—

Submit for orders.

A/ Another Comn from the Agent on the same subject is placed on the file herewith (C 1630)

25 & 29

B/ The Nilkha Dept may be invited as regards the change of procedure re payment to the guard—

A/ The Nilkha Dept will pay back amount remaining after new claim for arrear payments if any. There does not seem to be any reason for the Agent objecting to the first order about advance payments—

The Agent has already been informed that the orders passed re the amount payable by the Nilkha for the Bara Guard cannot be reconsidered. Nothing more is called for in the matter.

(Illegible)

29/4

A. The C C may be consulted.

L 3074/4th.

6703

6661

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

26.4.29.

WD 01714—GPB—30,000—18-4 28

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 11 of 1928.

Serial No.

56
Dft to C.C. Submits
- AV
1.5.29.

UW
1/5

57 Dem
28
1/5

19. To The Chief Secretary
C 10444, 5-0 of 3-5-29
From Dft No 682 of 31-8-29
From the Agent, Carnatic Sub-Division
Re reference & action & suggestion
to amend the procedure in the case of
the Officers of Subordinate Services
Forwarded by the Agent & Collector
with the request to the Board to
consider & advise Government
whether the change suggested
by the Agent is feasible.

Sus (A)
UW
7/5

20. From, The Ch. Comdt,
Letter no. 2L. 590 of 10.5.29.
Submits the report called for
in Sl. 19.

As regards the change of procedure
suggested by the Agent. The Ch. Comdt.
does not accept the suggestion
as it will amount to the handing
of the control of the men to the
Mate & authorities, and this so
against military discipline.

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

WD 01714—GPB—30,000—18-4-28

and Revenue
tariat

File No.

Serial No.

8/ As regards the adjustment of the amounts due by the matt out of Rs 756-6-0 due to the matt, the ch. comdt promises to furni information in due course which may be awaited.

Submit.

AV
14.5.29.

Ys. remind if reply is not received in a month

LW
14/5

28/5

Sues CB

LW
18/5

From, the Chief Comdt,
letter no 21 38 dy 13 6 29.

Reports that the Agent of the att is still paying at the rate of Rs 56/ a month, and that the amount due to the matt at the end of April 1929 is Rs 384-6-0 (as per details noted therein).

Submit.

AV
24.6.29

LW
7/6/29

The C.C is not agreeable to this procedure suggested by the Agent. Refer to the Government of India for the amount due from the matt to the Dept at the enhanced rate may be permitted to be adjusted from the deposit due to the matt.

35/
17/6

Dy 26/6/29.

Sd Secy — I agree with the a/s.

1st Member

9/7/29

10.7.29

10 JULY 1929
No. 259

Note—Contn. Sheet

WD 01684—GPR—30,000—20-4-29

Serial No.

PS
DS. Submitted
AM
15.7.29.
WS
16/7
WS
TS/7
AM
16.7.29
WS

21 To Hon. Agent Dn Dvaigere
No 124 Dt 18-7-29 from
states that the procedure suggested by him cannot be accepted.
From me C.C. Letter no. Fl. 25 B Dt 24.7.29.
States that payment will be arranged for, after the details called for by him from the Dvaigeri Agent, are received -
Submitted for perusal - no further action is perhaps necessary -
Mrs Spence
AM
30.7.29.
WS
30/7
WS
30/7

B
WS
1/8

WD 01684—GPB—30,000—20-4-29

N. SRIKANTHA SASTRY.
AGENT, SRINGERI MUTT.

Sringeri,
3rd July 8.
Dated 192

DEWAN'S OFFICE
Received on
7 - JUL 1928
No. 140

Dear Mr. Ramachandra Rao

On the 20th Ultimo when I had an interview with the Dewan Sahib, I mentioned to him about the Barr Guard attached to the Sri Mutt and the recent reference received from the Chief Commsndant regarding its pay. I was then asked by the Dewan Sahib to see him again about it after discussing the subject with Mr. M. N. Krishna Rao, 1st Councillor.

When I went to the Councillor I was told by him that he did not remember Government having passed any orders recently to recover full cost of the guard and as such, I did not like to trouble the Dewan Sahib again.

After my return to Sringeri, on searching for old papers on the subject, it is seen from the records that the Chief Commandant, Mysore State Troops, addressed the Government in May 1924, requesting permission to recover full cost of the guard attached to the Mutt. The Government having directed the recovery of the full charges in their Order No. 12987-8/ Mily. 175-23-2 dated 2nd April 1924, the Chief Commandant sent up a claim for the amount from August 1921 to March 1924. On receipt of this reference, under instructions -- from His Holiness, I sent up my representation No. 166 dated 20th May 1924, explaining how the Mutt has been given the protection of the guard, owing to its faroff situation in a hilly corner of the State, surrounded by dense and deep forest all around, both as a measure of safety and as a mark of respect. As no reply has been received to the representation, I believe the reference is pending disposal by the Government.

The Chief Commandant has now sent up a claim for Rs. 4417 and

N SRIKANTHA SASTRY.
AGENT, SRINGERI MUTT.

Camp:

Dated 192

and odd for the period from October 1926 to June 1928 towards the cost of two Barr guards, one attached to the Temple and the other to the Mutt. The latter guard which was on duty during His Holiness' tour is in lieu of one of the two Mysore Horse Biradaris -- sanctioned for tour purposes in Government letter No. 857 / Muz. 165-23-2 dated the 19th December 1923, (Copy enclosed for ready reference).

5 As its cost has to be adjusted in the manner laid down in Government Order No. 928-30 / Muz. 165-23-6 dated the 9th January 1924, the Sri Mutt is not liable for the payment. It is only regarding the guard attached to the Temple that Government orders are now -- solicited.

6 In this connection I may be permitted to mention that Sri Sringeri Mutt with Sri Saradamba Temple in Sringeri is a very ancient institution held in great veneration all over India. It has been under the protection of the Mysore Royal House who have safeguarded for centuries past its interests and prestige on account of its spiritual importance and hoary antiquity. Further, His Holiness Sri Jagadguru has all along been the recipient of the privileges of Infantry and Cavalry escorts which he has made use of only during his tours.

7 In these circumstances, I beg to request you to kindly place this matter before the Dewan Sahib and obtain his favourable orders regarding the free grant of the Barr guard at Sringeri as it has been done in the case the Sri Parakalaswami Mutt in Mysore. In case the Government find it inexpedient to so order, I request that

SRIKANTHA SASTRY.
GENT. SRINGERI MUTT.

Camp:

Dated *192* .

the present practice of recovering from the Mutt a lump sum of Rs. 56 /- as contribution may be continued for the Barr guard attached to the Mutt.

Yours Sincerely,

To

M. S. Ramachandra Rao, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Private Secretary to the Dewan of Mysore,
BANGALORE .

Ann F VI. GII

34

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Section Mil

File No. 11 - 2 3

Serial No. 2

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

No. 124 Mel 2

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *192*

To The chief Commandant.

Date of issue 19/7/28

Signed by L.K. Loweswara Ayr

Sir,

I am directed to forward herewith a copy of application D no 47 Dy 3 7-1928 with its enclosures (the original returned herewith) from the Agent of Sri Sringeri matt ~~Shabbay~~ praying that the claim for Rs 4417 and odd for the period from October 1924 to June 1928 towards the cost of 2 Body Guards, one attached to the temple and the other to the matt

List of enclosures.....

Copied by .....

Examined by .....

over

WD0325—GPB—30,000—26 5-27

to request your kind help and
that the details
relating to the above claim
may be supported -

Section No.

Plot No.

Yours faithfully
[illegible]
Secretary

2 AUG. 1 8

E STATE TROOPS.

Tel. Address:
STATROOPS."

No. ....

No. HC.31 2 28

Encls 4.

OFFICE OF THE CHIEF COMMANDANT,

Bangalore, dated 2 8 *1928.*

SECRET. SECRETARIAT

FROM

Lt.Col. C.R.H.P. Landon, C.B.E., D.S.O.
Chief Commandant,
Mysore State Troops, Bangalore.

D191

Misc 11-28-3

To

The Secretary
to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of
Mysore, Financial Department, Bangalore.

SIR,

With reference to your letter No.124/Muz.11-28-3 dated 19th July 1928 forwarding for detailed report, copy of D.O. letter No.2993 dated 3-7-1928 from the Agent, Sringeri Mutt in the matter of recovery of the pay of the Barr Guards lent to the Sringeri Mutt and Temple, I have the honor to state that the present claim for Rs.4417-10-0 preferred by this Department is in accordance with Government Order No.G.222-4/Mily.175-28 dated 14-7-1924.

2. Copies of the statements of the claim in question forwarded to the Agent, Srirgeri Mutt, are herewith enclosed for information.

3. From a perusal of the statement of the claim, it can be seen that instead of Rs.120/- per mensem as fixed by Government, the actuals vary from month to month on account of the variation in the scale of pay etc of the two Guards.

4. A cheque for Rs.756-6-0 drawn in favour of the Agent on account of the cost of "Feed and Keep" charges of the animals

34

Statement showing the Barr Guards lent to Sri Sringeri Mutt and the amount to be recovered therefor .

Period		Amount due from the mutt.	Remarks.
October	1926	Rs. 133-0-0	
November	"	133-0-0	
December	"	133-0-0	
January	1927	133-0-0	
February	"	133-0-0	
March	"	133-0-0	
April	"	133-0-0	
May	"	133-0-0	
June	"	121-4- 0	
July	"	133-0-0	
August	"	135-0-0	
September	"	135-0-0	
October	"	135-0-0	
November	"	135-0-0	
December	"	135-0-0	
January	1928	135-0-0	
		137-0-0	
	Total Rs.	2132-4-0	

"Copy"

K.A. Krishna Rao

For Chief Commandant,
Mysore State Troops.

N.U.
1/8

D.O. letter No. 2998 dated 3rd July 1928 from the Agent of Sri Sringeri Mutt, to the Private Secretary to the Dewan.

On the 20th Ultimo when I had an interview with the Dewan Sahib I mentioned to him about the Barr Guard attached to the Sri Mutt and the recent reference received from the Chief Commandant regarding its pay. I was then asked by the Dewan Sahib to see him again about it after discussing the subject with Mr. M.N. Krishna Rao, 1st Councillor.

When I went to the Councillor I was told by him that he did not remember Government having passed any orders recently to recover full cost of the guard and as such, I did not like to trouble the Dewan Sahib again.

3. After my return to Sringeri, on searching for old papers on the subject, it is seen from the records that the Chief Commandant, Mysore State Troops, addressed the Government in February 1924, requesting permission to recover full cost of the guard attached to the Mutt. The Government having directed the recovery of the full charges in their order No. 12897-8/Mily.175-23-2 dated 2nd April 1924 the Chief Commandant sent up a claim for the amount from Agust 1921 to March 1924. On receipt of this reference, under instructions from His Holiness, I sent up my representation No. 166 dated 20th May 1924, explaining how the Mutt has been given the protection of the guard, owing to its far off situation in a hilly corner of the State, surrounded by dense and deep forest all around, both as a measure of safety and as a mark of respect. As no reply has been received to the representation, I believe the reference is pending disposal by the Government.

4. The Chief Commandant has now sent up a claim for Rs. 4417/- and odd for the period from October 1926 to June 1928 towards the cost of two Barr Guards, one attached to the Temple and the other to the Mutt. The latter guard which was on duty during His Holiness' tour is in lieu of one of the two Mysore Horse Biradaris sanctioned for tour purposes in Government letter No. 857/Muz.165-22-2 dated the 19th December 1923 (copy enclosed for ready reference)

in Government Order No. 928-30/Muz.165-23-6 dated the 9th January 1924, the Sri Mutt is not liable for the payment. It is only regarding the guard attached to the temple that Government orders are now solicited.

6. In this connection I may be permitted to mention that Sri Sringeri Mutt with Sri Saradamba temple in Sringeri is a very ancient institution held in great veneration all over India. It has been under the protection of the Mysore Royal House who have safeguarded for centuries past its interests and prestige on account of its spiritual importance and hoary antiquity. Further, His Holiness Sri Jagadguru has all along been the recepient of the privileges of Cavalry escorts which he has made use of only during ~~the~~ his tours.

7. In these circumstances, I beg to request you to kindly ~~xxxxxxxx~~ place this matter before the Dewan Sahib and obtain his favourable orders regarding the free grant of the Barr Guard at Sringeri as it has been done in the case the Sri Parakalaswami Mutt in Mysore. In case the Government find it inexpedient to so order, I request that the present practice of recovering from the Mutt lump-sum of Rs. 50/- as contribution may be continued for the Barr-guard attached to the Mutt.

---

* C O P Y *

M Narasimha

Superintendent, Muzrai Branch.

No 857
Mus 165-23-2.

Mus. Secretariat. W/—

Bangalore, Dt 19th Dec. 1923

From

K. V. Anantaraman, Esq., B.A.,
Secretary to the Govt., of H.H. the Maharaja
of Mysore, Revenue Department.

To

Major A.J.W. Foottit, I.A.,
Chief Commandant, Mysore State Troops,

Sir,

I am directed to inform you that His Holiness Sri Chandrasekhara Bharati Swamigalavaru, Jagadguru of the Sringeri Mutt, proposes to proceed on a tour in the State. A copy of the itinerary is sent herewith. As it is usual on such occasions for Government to provide the Guru with a suitable escort of Silledars I am to request that arrangements may be made to detach, as usual, two Biradaries of Silledars to escort the Guru on his tour. If, with reference to the demand for the services of Silledars at the present time, two Biradaris cannot be conveniently spared, one Biradari is at least necessary, in which case Barr Sepoys may kindly be specially deputed in lieu of the other in order to accompany His Holiness and his Retinue throughout the proposed tour.

As the Guru intends leaving Sringeri on the 18th January 1924, I am to request that arrangements may be made so that the escort may be ready at Sringeri by that ~~time~~ date.

I have , &.,

Sd. K.V. Anantaraman, Secy.,
to Govt., Revenue Deptt.

No. 858 dated 19-12-23½ M e m o .

Copy of the above is forwarded to Mr. Srikanta Sastry, Agent, Sringeri Mutt, for information, with reference to his letter No. 292/Misc. 23-24 dated the 12th December, 1923.

B.O.
SD. S. Narayana Rao,
for Secy. to Govt., Rev: Dept

" C o p y "

Agent, Sringeri Mutt

2985
1

Section mess
File No. 11-28
Serial No. 4
No. 218

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

General and Revenue Secretariat
( )
the *192*

To The chief Comm.r

Date of issue 22/8/28

Signed by M. K. Sreenivasa Ayy

Sir,

Adverting to your letter no. 71-31 dt 2.8.1928 re the Barr guards sent to the Sri Sringeri matt and temple, I directed to observe that it decided in the order dt 14th Aug. 1924 that the mall should pay the full charges and that recovery at rates should be enforced from 1st April 1924 this in the statements now sent

over

List of enclosures.....

Copied by .....

Examined by .....

WD0325—GPB—30,000—26-5-27

yaw, the claim commences
from Octr. 1926. I am to enquire
how the claim for the period
from 1st April 1926 to 30th Septr 26
has been dealt with and whether
it has prefered by the Military
Deptt., and paid by the Mahs.

Both the Statements sent with
your letter under reference refer to
Barr guards, and I am to
request you to state the G.Os
on the authority of which these
guards have been furnished to
the Mahs.

In letter no. 722 Dy 19-12-1923,
you were authorized to furnish
one Biradari of Sillidars and
an Infantry Guard to accompany
the Head of the Mahl on his
tour; and I am to enquire
if all the ~~monthly~~ claims on account
of the supply of this Biradari
and Guard, calculated on the
lines approved by the G.O. No. 928-30
Mus. 165-23-6

W5

By 9th January 1924,
have been paid by the Mail,
and whether the Infantry
Guard was supplied for
accompanying the Head of the
Mail on his tour in addition
to the two Base Guards,
the recovery of the pay of
which is now under discussion.

It is stated in your
Comn that the cheque
for Rs 756-6-0 drawn in
favor of the Agent of the
Mail has not been
sent to him: As there is
a counter-claim against the
Mail, I am to request that
the payment for which this
cheque is drawn and for
subsequent dues on the
same account need not be
made until the whole
question is settled.

(2) The favor of an early

Early reply is requested.

I have etc.

W. H. Hunt
Asst. Secty.

GPB—15,000—13-11-11

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

2965
1

Section Mun -

File No. 11 - 28

Serial No. 5

No. 419

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *192*

To The Dn. C.

Date of issue 22/8/27

Signed by M. K. Sankaranarayana Ayya

Sir,

I am directed to state that the agent of the Sri Sringeri mate states that the Panakala Swamy matt at Mysore has been furnished with a free Bar guard, and to request information as to whether such a guard has been supplied and if so, from what date, what its strength is, and what is the

List of enclosures.....

Copied by .....

Examined by .....

over

WD0325—GPB—30,000—26 5-27

authority on which the
free grant rests.

(2) The favor of a
very early reply is requested.

I have etc

WM/WP

Dft for Sec. Secty.

WM
WP/pb

OFFICE OF THE MUZRAI COMMISSIONER IN MYSORE,

Bangalore.....20th September.....192 8

2 2

No. 373 Eml
28-9

FROM

P. G. D'Souza, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
~~The~~ *Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.*

REV. & REV. SECRETARIAT
24 SEP 1928
MUZRAI
D471
Rec. 11-24-6

To

B. Srinivasa Iyengar, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Secretary to the Government of His
Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Financial Department.

SIR,

With reference to your letter No.419/M.F.11-28-5 dated 22nd August 1928, I have the honor to forward herewith copy of letter No.67/28-29 dated 14th September 1928 received from the Honorary - Superintendent of Sri Parakalaswami Matha, stating that a set of Barr Pahare consisting of 6 sepoys and 2 officers is deputed from the Palace free of cost ~~of~~ for the watch and ward of the Matha and -- when the Swamigalu goes on tour one set of guard will accompany - him and an additional set will be posted at the matha for duty and that the authority for this free deputation etc., will have to be ascertained from the Palace office.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

for Assistant Commissioner
for Muzrai Commissioner.
(absent from Station)

Swami Mattu, Mysore. to the Mumai Commr.

మిస్టర్ సుబారు శ్రీవిలియం బయుబర్స్ కంపెనీ మేనేజర్ వారు

ఆర్డర్ చేసి

కేటలాగ్ ఆర్డర్ నెంబరు శ్రీమతి కలపిరుబ 28.8.28 నాటి
మార్కు నం $\frac{373}{28-9}$ నాటి నం- లిఖితపూర్వకమైన మాట -

శ్రీ వారి దగ్గరనుండి బళ్ళకి ఆర్డరు వచ్చినది
ఆర్డరు ప్రకారం ఎనిమిది గుర్రాలు మళ్ళా ఎరడు ప్రకారం పెద్ద బళ్ళు
కొనడానికి బండి బాంధీ పడుతుందని లంచం యిచ్చినందులూ మోసపు యుంచి వి
వరించారుకదా: శ్రీ శ్రీ గుర్రాలవారనుండి యిచ్చి డబ్బు తీసుకొనిరా భట్టు నంజుం
డప్పల్లా చయువేండిసిరువ కొలుగుర్లా సహాయంగా బండి బాంధీ పడుతుంది
పడేటప్పుడు శ్రీవారంతో- బండి పడుతుందులూ యిరుక్కుని లిఖిత్తో-
శ్రీ విళువారు ప్రభుగుర్ల వేరవు చూసి నిజమైన నదిండ ఆర్డరుతో యిరుంగర
తో మళ్ళా రెండు గుర్రాలు కొనిలి వాగుల్లో తీసుకొని ఆరవునేలయిండ తీసుకుపో
ళ్ళబడితే గారంతో నుండవివరం రాసి పంపుతారు -

(సం) తిరువళ్ళూరు శ్రీనివాసరాఘవాచార్యులు

Hon. Superintendent

Copy

M. Mumai Co

Hon Mumai Commr.

236

SRIKANTHA SASTRY,
AGENT, SRINGERI MUTT.

REV. SECRETARIAT 1 NOV 1928 MUZRAI

Camp: SRINGERI.

Dated, 4th Novr. 1928

D.O.No.261.

DEWAN'S OFFICE - 7 NOV. 1928 No. 3-6

My dear Dewan Sahib,

I believe the Navarathri festiveties at Mysore, as usual, have passed off this year quite successfully,

I hope my brother Mr. Vidyavisarada Kunigal Rama Sastry who left this for Mysore recently has informed you full particulars about the present condition of His Holiness and other matters. I am eagerly expecting His Holiness' early recovery and I hope by God's grace he will get better soon.

About 40 years ago Government were pleased to sanction a Guard of Barr Infantry to safeguard the Saradamba Temple and also the valuable jewels endowed by the Mysore Kings, recovering a contribution of Rs.56/- per mensem therefor from the Mutt. But Government have ordered in 1924 in their Proceedings No.12897-8/ Mily. 175-23-2 dated 2nd April 1924 that the full pay of the guard be recovered from the Sri Mutt, and in pursuance of the order, the Chief Commandant, Mysore State Troops, has been urging adjustment accordingly since that date. When I had met you at Bangalore last, I had represented to you about this subject and requested that you might be pleased to order the continuance of the guard, free of all charges against the Sri Mutt, failing which, at least on recovery of Rs.56/- per mensem as heretofore as contribution in that behalf. I had also addressed a D. O. to your Private Secretary on 3rd July 1928, asking him to remind you of the subject, and as the subject does not seem to have been disposed of yet, I have been unable to reply the Chief Commandant's references

IKANTHA SASTRY,
ENT, SRINGERI MUTT.

Camp:

Dated,

57

the subject.

I therefore request your kind consideration of the subject and the favour of sanctioning the Barr guard free of charge. Should it, however, be not feasible to do so, the existing arrangement of recovering a contribution of Rs.56/- per --- mensem therefor as in vogue during the last 40 years may be ordered to be continued and undisturbed.

Begging to be kindly excused for the trouble,

Yours sincerely,

Ikantha Sastry

To

Amin-Ul)Mulk

Mirza Muhammad Ismail, Esq., B.A., C.I.E.,

Dewan of Mysore,

Mysore.

G. R. S. III—5]

ROUTINE NOTES.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record]

N B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips the notes must be cipoed, or slips pasted on this form

ROUTINE NOTES.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

N B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form.

Tel. Address
STATROOPS

MYSORE STATE TROOPS.

No. H 102/28.29

FINANCIAL SECRETARY
16 NOV. 1928
No.

OFFICE OF THE CHIEF COMMANDANT,
Bangalore, dated 15. 11. 1928.

FROM

LT. COL. C. R. H. P. LANDON, C.B.E., D.S.O.,
Chief Commandant,
Mysore State Troops, Bangalore.

To

SIR,

Government Order No.G.12907-8/Mily.175-2

finally ordered in Government Order No.G.222-4

dated 14-7-1924 that the recovery at the enhanced r

ate is fro 1-1-1924.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Lt.Col.
Chief Commandant,
Assam Rifles Troops.

.Y.
1.-11-1924.

55

Letter No.145 dated 21st September 1938 from the Agent Sringeri Mutt, Sringeri, to the Chief Commandant, Mysore State Troops, Bangalore.

With reference to your letter No.Fl.21-38-39 dated 30th August 1938 and subsequent reminder thereon, requesting information on the points raised in Financial Secretary's letter No.115/Ex.11-38-1 dated 22nd idem, preliminarily to the return of the Cheque for Rs.778-8-0 under reference, I have the honor to state as follows:-

1. Towards the Silver Guard attached to Sringeri Mutt by the Palace at Sringeri, the Mutt has all along been paying only at Rs.100/- per month and as such, payment has not been made to the enhanced rate quoted in Government Order No.10007/ Ev.175-38- dated the 24th April 1934.

2. On the eve of His Holiness' departure on tour in 1934 one Bird Unit of Silladar and one Infantry Guard were detailed for escort duty (Vide Reserve Secretariat letter No.277 dated 15th December 1933). The cost of the feeding charges of the Bird Unit horses has been adjusted up to the end of December 1937, leaving only the bill for the period from September 1937 to the date of return of the Bird Unit to Mysore (to which the Government have no objection) to be adjusted. The Infantry Guard on duty in the tour reported to Sringeri soon after His Holiness' return to Sringeri in February 1938. From the tour the Bird Guard was sent only back from Shimoga (Vide our report to Government No.5780 dated 3rd January 1934).

3. All along there has been only one Infantry Guard on duty in the Mutt and not two as detailed in para 2 of the Financial Secretary's letter.

"C."

for Chief Commandant,
Mysore State Troops.

Letter No.256 dated 3rd November 1928 from the Agent, Sringeri utt, Sringeri, to the Chief Commandant, Mysore State Troops, Ba[illegible]

=====

I reply to your letter No.3272 dated the 10th Instn, I have the horor to state that a sum of Rs.75/- per month is being paid as contribution by the Sringeri utt for the Body Guard supplied to the utt as a mark of respect and also in view of safety on account of its frontier situation in hilly portion of the State. A representation has been submitted to the Government that as the subject is under their consideration orders thereon are awaited.

"Copy"

K Subramanyam 15.11.28

for Chief Commandant,
Mysore State Troops.

Section

File No.

Serial No.

No. 1167 Income

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *192*

To

.....The ..ch.. Comdt....

Date of issue 14/12/28

Signed by .....

Sir,

Adverting to correspondence ending with your letter ~~re Barr Guard by Sri Sringeri mutt~~, No. F.L. 102 Dt 15-11-1928 re Barr Guard by Sri Sringeri mutt I am directed to forward herewith for your information, and necessary action, a copy of Com^n to the Agent of Sri Sringeri mutt in the matter of the recovery of the Guard Monthly charges from the mutt, in respect of the Barr Guard permanently stationed

over

List of enclosures .....

Copied by.....

Examined by.....

WD 0399—GPB—20,000—18-9-28

to the matt.

As regards your claim on account of the pay of the Serang Barr Guard which accompanied the Swamy when he was on tour, I am to observe that the Agents Contention that the matt is not liable to pay the Salary of the men deputed appears to be correct. In this Connection, I am to invite your attention to G.O. No. 857 dy 19.12.1923 Mun. 165-23-2 on this subject, and to request you to cite the authority if any, on which their claim for payments on account of this Guard has been preferred. (vide G.O. No. 925-30/Mun 165-23 (7) (E in Mun. D. 165-23 pg. 1-7)

I am to add that the cheque for Rs 756-6-0 stated to have been drawn in favor of the matt and other payments if any due to it by the Military Dept. have to be adjusted

G.R.S. 27]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Continuation sheet draft form.)

~~forwards the Dues of~~
the mail on account of
the pay of the permanent
Infantry Guard attached
to the mail.

I have &c.

(Sd) J.C. Scott

24/12/28

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE 68

General and Revenue Secretariat,

No. 1161 — 9 more
Mag 11-4-9

Dated, Bangalore, 14 13th Dec. 192 8

From

B. SRINIVASA IYENGAR, Esq., B A, B L,
Financial Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore

To

Lt. Col. C.R.H.P. Landon, C.B.E., D.S.O.,
Chief Commandant, Mysore State Troops, Bangalore.

Sir,

Adverting to correspondence ending with your letter No. Fl.102 dated 15th November 1928 re Barr Guard of Sri Sringeri Matt, I am directed to forward herewith for your information and necessary action, a copy of communication to the Agent of Sri Sringeri Matt in the matter of the recovery of the full monthly charges from the Matt in respect of the Barr Guard permanently attached to the Matt.

As regards your claim on account of the pay of the second Barr Guard which accompanied the Swamy when he was on tour, I am to observe that the Agent's contention that the Matt is not liable to pay the salary of the men deputed appears to be correct. In this connection I am to invite your attention to G.O. No. 857/Mag.165-23-2 dated 19th December 1923 and to request you to cite the authority if any, on which the claim for payment on account of this guard has been preferred. I am to add that the cheque for Rs. 756-6-0 stated to have been drawn in favour of the Matt and other payments if any due to it by the Military Department have to be adjusted towards the dues of the Matt on account of the pay of the permanent Infantry Guard attached to the Matt.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Secretary to Government,
Financial Department.

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

51

General and Revenue Secretariat,

No. 1162- Mis 11-8-10. Dated, Bangalore, 14th Dec. 1928

From

B. SRINIVASA IYENGAR, Esq., B. A., B. L.,
Financial Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

To

Brahmasri Guruseva Pravina N. Srikanta Sastry,
Agent, Sri Sringeri Matt, Sringeri.

Sir,

Adverting to your letters dated the 3rd July and 4th November 1928 requesting that the Barr Guard permanently attached to the Matt, may be furnished free or if it should be charged for, the old rate of Rs. 56/- may be continued to be levied, I am directed to state that this question was fully considered in 1924 when it was decided in G.O. No. G.12897-8/Mily.125-23 dated 2nd April 1924 that the Matt should pay the full monthly charge. A reconsideration of the above order was sought for in your representation dated 20th May 1924 (which you say is pending) and it was held in G.O. No. G.222-4 dated 14th July 1924 that there was no reason to reduce the rate of the monthly charges for the above guard, and that the Matt should pay the full charge commencing from 1st April 1924. A copy of the order has also been sent to you. In these circumstances, Government do not think that there is any reason to re-open the question now and I am therefore to intimate that arrangement may be made for the payment of the full cost of the guard as decided in the order dated 14th July 1924.

With reference to para. 7 of your letter dated 3rd July 1928, I am to inform you that no Barr Guard is supplied by the Military Department to the Sri Parakala Swamy Matt at Mysore.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Secretary to Government,
Financial Department.

Appendix F G—1

SUBJECT..... 2965

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to.. .....

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the 192

Date of issue.....

Signed by.....

List of enclosures .. ..

Copied by.....

Examined by .....

Section

File No.

Serial No.

No. 1113

To

The Agent, Sri Jaigopal Mills

Sir,

Adverting to your letters of 3rd July and 4th November, 1928 requesting that the Barr Guard permanently attached to the mail may be furnished free or if it should be charged for, the old rate of Rs 56/ may be continued to be levied I am directed to state that this question was fully considered in 1924 when it was held in G.O. No. 12897-8/July 1924 that the mails should pay the full monthly charges of Rs. 56/-

WD 0399—GPB—20,000—18 9-28

re-consideration of the above
order was sought for in your
representation dt 20th may 1924, (which you say, is pending)
and it was held in G.O.
no G. 222-4 dt 14.7.1924 (a copy ~~of~~ dt
~~which was furnished to you~~
~~at the time~~), that there was
no reason to reduce the rate of
the monthly charges for the
above guard, and that the matt
should pay the full charge commencing
from 1st April 1924. ~~After giving~~ A copy of this
~~the matter their best~~ order has also been sent to you.
~~consideration to the whole position~~ In these circumstances,
~~finding~~ but do not think that there
is any reason to re-open
the question now ~~and the matt~~ & I am therefore to intimate that
~~has to pay~~ the full cost arrangement may be made for the payment
of the guard as decided in
the order dt 14.7.1924.
~~In reply to~~ with reference para 7 of letter
dt 3-7-1928, I am to inform
you that no Bass guard is
supplied by the Military Dept
to the Soi Parakala
Swamy matt ~~at~~ myself
I have etc.

Mg
4/1928

18 JAN. 19

No

OFFICE OF THE AGENT, SRINGERI MUTT.

No. 431

~~Camp~~ Sringeri,

Dated the 16th January 1929. ~~192~~ .

From

Guruseva Pravina

N. SRIKANTHA SASTRY, Esq.,

Agent, Sringeri Mutt.

GEN. E.O. MUZRAI D1079

To

B. Srinivasa Iyengar, Esq., B.A., B.L.,

Financial Secretary to the Government of

His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,

B a n g a l o r e .

SIR,

With reference to your letter No. 1162 / Muz. 11-28-10 dated 14th December 1928, stating that there is no reason to -- re-open the question relating to the payment in full of the cost of the Barr Guard attached to the Mutt, I have the honour to state that the Government having been kind enough for several -- reasons to give the Barr Guard to the Mutt for a period of over 40 years for a stipulated amount, it is the continuance of that favour by the Government that was solicited in my representation. Beyond the request for allowing the privilege long enjoyed, no other ground has been urged by me. But if the Government should be of the opinion that its continuance is entirely out of question, I beg to state that the Government Order relating to the payment of full cost of the Guard may be brought into effect from the -- beginning of 1929. I hope that the Government will be kind enough to grant this request at least.

I beg to remain,

S i r ,

Your most obedient servant,

Agent, Sringeri Mutt.

MYSORE STATE TROOPS. 30-1-29

No. K.102/28-29. Encl. 3.

D 1152

OFFICE OF THE CHIEF COMMANDANT,

Bangalore, dated 29-1- *1929.*

FROM

LT. COL. C. R. H. P. LANDON, C.B.E., D.S.O.,
Chief Commandant,
Mysore State Troops, Bangalore.

To

B. Srinivasa Iyengar Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Financial Secretary to the Government of His Highness
the Mah raja of Mysore, Bangalore.

SIR,

I have the honor to state that, in accordance with para 2 of your letter No.1161/muz.11-28-9 dated 14th December 1928, a revised claim for Rs.3027-11-0 was sent to the Agent Sringeri mutt with this office No.F1.821/28-29 dated 26th December 1928 (copy enclosed for kind reference) and that in reply the Agent states that a further representation has been submitted to Government, though the Government found no reason to reopen the question as intimated to the Agent in your letter No.1162/muz 11-28-10 dated 14th December 1928.

K.S.R. 30/1/29

I request that final orders may kindly be issued on the Agent's further representation so that the arrears may be recovered early.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most Obedient Servant,

Lt.Col.
Chief Commandant,
Mysore State Troops.

Letter No.445 dated 21st January 1929 from the Agent Sringeri Mutt Sringeri to the Chief Commandant, Mysore State Troops, Bangalore.

**** *** ****** ****

With reference to your letter No.Fl.8217 of the Instant I have the honor to forward herewith the list of credits on account of Barr Guard received duly verified from the Koppa Talu Treasury Officer.

As for the adjustment of Rs.3207-11-0 said to be still due, a further representation has been submitted to Government and needful will be done on receipt of orders.

******* *********

" Copy "

K Subramanyam

For Chief Commandant,
Mysore State Troops.

Letter No.Fl.8217/28-29 to the Agent Sri Sringeri Mutt Sringeri Mutt Sringeri from the Chief Commandant, Mysore State Troops, Bangalore.

****

With reference to your letter No.344 dated 5th December 1928 furnishing details of amounts credited to Koppa Taluk Treasury from April 1928 to October 1928 towards the maintenance of the permanent Guards at the Mutt at Rs.50/- per month, I have the honor to enclose herein a detail statement prepared according to the Financial Secretary's letter No.1162 Muz/11-28-10 dated 14th December 1928 addressed to you and to request that arrangements may be kindly made for the early payment of the net balance of Rs.3087-11-0 arrived at after adjusting the sum of Rs.756-6-0 due to the Mutt.

****

" Copy "

For Chief Commandant,
Mysore State Troops.

Statement showing details of contribution towards pay etc of the rmanent Barr Guards at Sri Sringeri Mutt for the period from 1-4-1924 end of October 1928, levied under Government Order No.G.2[illegible]-4/Mily. 5-23 dated 14th July 1924.

Month	Total amount due as per statement received with 2nd Battalion No. 456 dated 2nd October 1928. Rs. as. p	Amount reported to have been paid by the mutt at Koppa Taluq Treasury as per statement received with Agents No.344/ 5-12-1928.	Balance payable under the Government Order noted above.
April	110- 0- 0	56- 0- 0	54- 0- 0
May	110- 0- 0	56- 0- 0	54- 0- 0
June	110- 0- 0	56- 0- 0	54- 0- 0
July	106- 0- 0	56- 0- 0	50- 0-0
August	106- 0- 0	56- 0- 0	50- 0- 0
September	120- 0- 0	56- 0- 0	64- 0- 0
October	120- 0- 0	56- 0- 0	64- 0- 0
November	120- 0- 0	56- 0- 0	64- 0- 0
December	120- 0- 0	56- 0- 0	64- 0- 0
January	114-3- 0	56- 0- 0	58- 3- 0
February	105- 0- 0	56- 0- 0	49- 0- 0
March	105- 0- 0	56- 0- 0	49- 0- 0
April	105- 0- 0	56- 0- 0	49- 0- 0
May	127- 0- 0	56- 0- 0	71- 0- 0
June	127- 0- 0	56- 0- 0	71- 0- 0
	1205-3- 0	840-0- 0	365- 3- 0
July	127- 0- 0	56- 0- 0	71-0- 0
August	129- 0- 0	56- 0- 0	73-0- 0
September	129- 0- 0	56- 0- 0	73-0- 0
October	130- 0- 0	56- 0- 0	74-0- 0
November	130- 0- 0	56-0- 0	74-0- 0
December	119- 0- 0	56- 0- 0	63-0- 0
January	124- 0- 0	56- 0- 0	68- 0-0
February	125- 0- 0	56- 0- 0	69- 0-0
March	125- 0- 0	56- 0- 0	69- 0-0
April	125- 0- 0	56- 0- 0	69- 0-0
May	125- 0- 0	56- 0- 0	69- 0-0
June	125-0- 0	56- 0- 0	69- 0-0
July	121- 0- 0	56-0- 0	65-0- 0
August	122- 0- 0	56- 0- 0	66-0- 0
September	122- 0- 0	56- 0- 0	66-0- 0
	1877- 0- 0	340-0- 0	1037-0-0
April 1924 to September 1926	3582- 3- 0	1680- 0- 0	1902-3- 0
	Total amount due as per register.		
October	120- 0- 0	56- 0- 0	66-0-0
November	122- 0- 0	56- 0- 0	66-0-0
December	122- 0- 0	56- 0- 0	66-0-0
January	122- 0- 0	56- 0- 0	67-6-0
February	127- 0- 0	56- 0- 0	71-0-0
March	127- 0- 0	56- 0- 0	71-0-0
April	127- 0- 0	56- 0- 0	71-0-0
	1007-6- 0		
	127- 0- 0	56- 0- 0	71-0-0

Statement showing details of contribution towards [illegible] to end of October 1928, levied under [illegible] 1924-29 dated 14th July 1924.

Year	Month	Total amount [illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]
		This Office Contribution Register		
		Rs As Rs		
1927	June	127- 0- 0	56-0-0	71-0-0
		1124- 6- 0	504-0-0	620-6-0
1927	July	129- 0- 0	56-0-0	73-0-0
	August	129- 0- 0	56-0-0	73-0-0
"	September	129- 0- 0	56- 0-0	73-0-0
"	October	129- 0- 0	56-0-0	73-0-0
"	November	129- 0- 0	56-0-0	73-0-0
"	December	129- 0- 0	56-0-0	73-0-0
1928	January	129- 0- 0	56-0-0	73-0-0
"	February	129- 0- 0	56-0-0	73-0-0
"	March	129- 0- 0	56-0-0	73-0-0
"	April	133- 0- 0	56-0-0	77-0-0
"	May	133- 0- 0	56-0-0	77-0-0
"	June	133- 0- 0	56-0-0	77-0-0
		1560-0- 0	672-0-0	888-0-0
1928	July	149-0- 0	56-0-0	93-0-0
"	August	148-8-0	56-0-0	92-8-0
"	September	149-0- 0	56-0-0	93-0-0
"	October	151-0- 0	56-0-0	95-0-0
		597-8- 0	224-0- 0	373- 8-0

		Balance
April 1924 to June 1925	Rs	865-8-0
July 1925 to September 1926		1037-0-0
October 1926 to June 1927		620-6-0
July 1927 to June 1928		888-0-0
July 1928 to October 1928		373- 8-0
Total amount due (April 1924 to October 1928)		3784- 1-0
Deduct Cheque for Rs.756-6-0 drawn in favour of the Agent adjusted		756- 6-0
Balance Payable	Rs	3027-11-0

Bangalore

Dated 24th Dec 1928.

K Subramanyam 28/11/29.

For Chief Commandant,
Mysore State Troops.

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

Sec mur.

File No. II 28

Serial No. 12

General and revenue Secretariat

( )

the 192 .

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

Date of issue 9-1-29

Signed by.....

Read—

1r Letter no 1161 — mu3rac 11-28 9 Dy 14th Decr 1928 to the Chief Commandant, Mysore State Troops, Bangalore, forwarding a copy of Communication to the Agent of Sri Sringeri Matt ~~directing~~ upon the Agent to pay ~~the~~ ~~monthly~~ ~~of~~ the full monthly charges from the matt in respect of the Bar guard ~~permanently attached to the matt~~.

Order Thereon No.

2r Letter no 4 31 Dy 16th January 1929 from the Agent of Sri Sringeri Matt

G.O no G 222-4 Dy 14-7-1924 (97 mutt 175-23) sanctioning that there ~~shall be~~ be a reduction in the rate of the monthly charges for the Bar guard ordinarily attached to Sri Sringeri Matt this be that the matt should pay the full charges & commencing from 1-4-1924.

i Printed?

ii treated as a standing order ?

iii published in the Gazette, English Part ?

iv translated ?

v placed at the disposal of the press ?

vi published in the Gazette, Kannada Part ?

AG

RS

4/3.

stating that he only asked for the
continuance of the favor of
the payment of a stipulated
amount which the mill has
paying for the Barr Guard
for a period of over 40 years,
and requesting that if the
Govt cannot continue it, the NS privilege
~~the Govt~~ under ~~relating~~
for the payment of the full cost
of the Guard may be
brought into effect from
the beginning of 1929.

Order [illegible] List of enclosure.

Govt see no reason to reduce
the rate of the monthly charges
for the Barr Guard doing duty
at the Swigert mill. They
however, are pleased to direct
that the recovery at the
enhanced rate be made from
1-1-1929.

Copied by

Examined by

WD 0326—GPB—12,500—26-5-27

W.H.P. 29
Genl. Staff. By the chief Constr.
Lieutenant Colonel
Assistant Engineer

Muz. 28-29.

8 MAR

From

Office of the Chief Commandant,

Bangalore, dated 8-3- 1929.

SECRETARIAT. MUZRAI

Lt. Col. C. R. H. P. Landon, C.B.E., D.S.O.,
Chief Commandant,
Mysore State Troops, Bangalore.

D1394

Muz 11-28. 13

To

The Financial Secretary
to the Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore, Bangalore.

Sir,

Adverting to Government Order No.G.1709-13/Muz.11-28-13 dated 25th February 1929 directing that recovery at enhanced rate, in respect of the Barr Guards at Sri Sringeri Mutt, may be made from 1-1-1929, I have the honor to state that a sum of Rs.756-6-0 due to the Mutt was credited to Military Deposits in accordance with your letter No.1761/ Muz.11-28-9 dated 14-12-1928 pending final orders of Government as regards the date from which the enhanced rate had to be levied. Now that the Mutt has paid in full at the old rate to end of December 1928, I request orders of Government whether the above amount may be adjusted towards the amounts due by the Mutt from January 1929.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

C R Landon Lt.Col.
Chief Commandant,
Mysore State Troops.

M.V.
7-3-1929.

Appendix F G—1

7-

SUBJECT..... .....

....

....

Diary No. of letter replied to.. .....

Section Mmg

File No. 11 - 28

Serial No. 14

No. ~~Mmg~~ 1880

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the 192

Date of issue 29/3/29

Signed by Mr. H. S. Iyer

List of enclosures .. ..

AG
RS
25-3

Copied by.....

Examined by.....

To

.....The Chief Comdt,
Mysore State Troops

Sir,

Adverting to your letter no M. 102 Dy 8th March 1929 reporting that as directed in this office letter no 1162-Mmg. 11-28-10 Dy 14th Decr 1928, a sum of Rs 756-6-0 due to Sri Srngeri matt, was credited to Military Deposits, and that the matt has paid in full at the old rate in respect of the Bara Guard doing duty at the

over

to the end of Dec. 1928
and requesting orders whether
the ~~above amount~~ Rs 3.6-0-0 may
be adjusted towards the
amounts due by the mail
from Jany. 1929; I am directed
to reply in the affirmative.
I have &c.

Sd/ 1873
for L.C. Secy
Memo No 1881 of 20-3-29
Copy to the Asst of
Sri Srngeri mail for
information.

B.O.
Sd/ 1873
for L.C. Secy.

DE 19 MAR 1929 M

No. 777

Mysg 11-28-15

19

Dear Mr. Ramachandra Rao, D. 1424

On the 5th instant when I paid my respects to the Dewan Sahib at Mysore I represented to him all the particulars relating to the Barr guard that has been given to the Mutt during the past 40 years in consideration of a monthly payment of Rs.56/- therefor, and prayed for a reconsideration of the Government Order recently passed on the subject. I have herein enclosed a copy of the said Order for kind reference. Amidst his multifarious duties, as this small matter is likely to escape the attention of the Dewan Sahib, I request you to kindly remind the Dewan Sahib of my representation at a favourable opportunity and obtain his favourable orders on the point.

Begging to be excused for the trouble, and thanking you in antinipation,

Yours sincerely,

Srikanta Datta

Seen & Forwarded
Member or
Dewan Mrs
P.S.

17/3/29

5642
18/3

Government Order No. G. 222-4/ Mily. 175-23 dated 14th July 1924 observing that Government did not see reason to reduce the rate of the monthly charges for the Barr Guard permenently attached to the Sri Sringeri Mutt and that the Mutt should pay the full charges commencing from 1st April 1924.

2. Letter No. 1161/ Muz. 11-28-9 dated 14th December 1928 to the Chief Commandant, Mysore State Troops, Bangalore, forwarding a copy of Communication to the Agent, Sringeri Mutt, requiring the Agent to pay the full monthly charges from the Mutt in respect of the above Guard.

3. Letter No. 431 dated 16th January 1929 from the Agent, Sri Sringeri Mutt, stating that he only asked for the continuance of the favour of the payment of a stipulated amount which the Mutt was paying for the Barr Guard for a period of over 40 years, and requesting that if the Government cannot continue the old privilege, their order for the payment of the full cost of the Guard may be brought into effect from the beginning of 1929.

ORDER No. 1709 - 12 / Muz. 11-28-12 dated Bangalore, the 25th February, 1929.

Government see no reason to reduce the rate of the monthly charges for the Barr Guard doing duty at the Sringeri Mutt. They, however, are pleased to direct that the recovery at the enhanced rate be made from 1st January 1929.

SD. T,V, Someswara Iyer.
For Secy., to Govt., Financial Dept.,

Copy
Bruce

BJECT..... .....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to.....11.211.....

Section I a.g.j

File No. 11-28.

Serial No. 16.

No. 22-29

General and Revenue Secretariat

(·)

the 192

To

.....The ... Agent ... Sri ... Sinjeri Mali

Date of issue.....

Signed by .....

for

Sir,

Adverting to your letter application no 600 dy 15.3.29 ~~praying~~, ~~that~~ on a re-consideration of the previous orders, ~~the mail may be allowed to pay at the old monthly rate of Rs 5~~ in respect of the Bass Guard doing duty at the Mails I am directed to State that the ~~the orders already~~ passed directing the levy at enhanced rates are final ~~and that~~ further correspondence

regarding the payment of the charges

..t of enclosures.....

Copied by.....

Examined by.....



APR.

OFFICE OF THE AGENT, SRINGERI MUTT.

~~Camp~~ SRINGERI.

No. 682

Dated the 21st MARCH, *1929.*

Muz 11 28-17 SECRETARIAT MUZRA 1509 1510.

From

Guruseva Pravina
N. SRIKANTHA SASTRY, Esq.,
Agent, Sringeri Mutt.

To

The Financial Secretary
to the Government of H.H. the Maharaja
of Mysore, BANGALORE.

SIR,

Adverting to your letter No.1880/Muz. 11-28-24 dated 20th instant, addressed to the Chief Commandant, Mysore State Troops, in re: the sum of Rs.756-6-0 due to the Sri Mutt, copy of which letter has been sent to this Office for information, I have the honour to state that the practice hitherto has been that at the beginning of each month an R.T.R. is received by the Deputy Amildar, Sringeri Jahgir, for the salary due to the members of the Barr Guard and their pay is accordingly disbursed to them. At the end of the month the contribution payable by the Mutt is credited into the Taluk Treasury at Koppa regularly ---- without allowing it to fall into arrears.

As the question regarding the enhanced amount of contribution payable by the Mutt was under correspondence, the sum --- under reference due from the Military Department was held in deposit for adjustment towards the sum said to be recoverable from the Mutt in this behalf. Since the Government have now disposed off the matter for the present and the enhanced contribution is ordered to be paid from January 1929, it is not understood why the amount held in deposit has been ordered to be treated as an advance against the claims to accrue hereafter, particularly as there has been no question of delay or default in the payment of contribution

payable

payable by the Mutt.

In these circumstances, I request you to be so good as to re-consider the matter and order the payment to the Mutt of the amount in question pending payment for over two years. I further beg to suggest that if in lieu of sending an R. T. R. every month for disbursing the pay of the Guard, it is ordered that the pay-bill relating to the Guard be sent to the Deputy Amildar, Sringeri Jahgir, he can very conveniently arrange disburse ment and send the acquitance, ~~xxxi~~ thus avoiding delay. If this proposal should be acceptable orders may be ---- issued to bring the above arrangement into effect early.

I have the honour to be,

S I R ,

Your most obedient servant,

Agent, Sringeri Mutt.

N. SRIKANTHA SASTRY.
AGENT, SRINGERI MUTT.
No. M.377.

No-745

Encl: one

Sringeri,
Dated, 23rd April 1929

My dear Mr. Krishna Rao, Issued 11-9-18 W 25/2 mh

You will be glad to hear that His Holiness is feeling quite happy and healthy and is attending to his routine.

After I saw you last and represented the facts relating to the Barr Guard on duty at the Mutt, the Government have orderod that the enhanced rate of contribution recoverable grom the Mutt for the Guard may be paid from the beginning of January 1929. I have once again made a representation in the matter for the continuance of the pld privilege granted over 40 years ago and continued up till now. I hope it will be favourably disposed off.

Meanwhile a communication, Memo No. 1881 Muz. dated 20th March 1929 has been sent to me by the Financial Secretary to Government intimating that the Chief Commandant, Mysore State Troops, has been authorised to adjust the sum of Rs. 756-6-0 payable to the Mutt and held in deposit by the Chief Commandant towards the sum payable hereafter by the Mutt for the Barr Guard.

As there are no reasons for asking for an advance for the purpose, I have addressed the Financial Secretary about it and a copy of my letter to him is herein enclosed for kind perusal.

In the circumstances, may I request you to kindly look into the matter and direct the return of the amount held in deposit ?

Hoping to be excused for the trouble I am giving,

Yours sincerely,

Srikantha Sastry

To

M.N. Krishna Rao, Esq., B.A.,
Member of H.H. the Maharaja's Council,
Bangalore.

early.

"Copy of letter No. 682 dated 31st. March 1928 from the Agent, Sringeri Mutt to the Financial Secretary to Government of Mysore.

Adverting to your letter No. 1880/Muz. 11-26-24 dated 20th Instant addressed to the Chief Commandant, Mysore State Troops, in re : the sum of Rs. 756-6-0 due to the Sri Mutt, copy of which letter has been sent to this Office for information, I have the honour to state that the practice hitherto has been that at the beginning of each month an R.T.E. is received by the Deputy Amildar, Sringeri Jahgir, for the salary due to the members of the Barr guard and -- their pay is accordingly disbursed to them. At the end of the month the contribution payable by the Mutt is credited into the Taluk -- Treasury at Koppa regularly without allowing it to fall into arrears.

As the question regarding the enhanced amount of contribution -- payable by the Mutt was under correspondence, the sum under reference due from the Military Department was held in deposit for adjustment towards the sum said to be recoverable from the Mutt in this behalf. Since the Government have now disposed off the matter for the present and the enhanced contribution is ordered to be paid from January 1929, it is not understood why the amount held in deposit has been ordered to be treated as an advance against the claims to accrue hereafter, particularly as there has been no question of delay or default in the payment of contribution payable by the Mutt.

In these circumstances, I request you to be so good as to re-consider the matter and order the payment to the Mutt of the amount in question pending payment for over two years. I further beg to suggest that if in lieu of sending an R.T.R. every month for disbursing the pay of the Guard, it is ordered that the pay-bill relating to the guard be sent to the Deputy Amildar, Sringeri Jahgir he can very conveniently arrange disbursement and send the acquittance, thus avoiding delay. If this proposal should be acceptable, orders may be issued to bring the above arrangement into effect early.

" Copy "

Agent, Sringeri Mutt

pendix F G—1

SUBJECT..... .....

... .

....

Section Imz

File No. 11—28

Serial No. 19.

No. 2296/Imz/29.

Diary No. of letter replied to..... .....

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *192*

To

..... The Chief Commr

Date of issue 3.5.29

Signed by ..... for Sec to Govt

Sir,

In contn of this office memo no 2296-Imz 11-28 dt 22nd April 1929 forwarding a copy of the Comr to the Agent, Sri Sringeri matt, informing him that the previous orders re the payment of charges in respect of the Body guard doing duty at the matt, cannot be re-considered, I am directed to forward here-

st of enclosures... . ...

Copied by..... ....

Examined by..... ....

over

Letter no. 682 dd. 31st March 29
from the said Agent for refee & Kind returns
to request you to be so kind as
to furnish this office with your
opinion as regards the
change of procedure
suggested by the Agent
re payment of the guards. and (2) the favor of a very
early reply is requested.

I have etc. W. Mackellar
Dft
Secy.

hm

1/5

after payment of Rs 756-8- to the Mackellar. In the other case information may be furnished as to the amount due to the end of April and after adjusting the amount due by them for the guards to that period including the arrears of Aug.

59

Encl one.

OFFICE OF THE CHIEF COMMANDANT,

Bangalore, dated 10-5- 1929.

Muz 11-28-20.

FROM

LT. COL. C. R. H. P. LANDON, C.B.E., D.S.O.,
Chief Commandant,
Mysore State Troops, Bangalore.

To

The Financial Secretary
to the Government of H.H.the Maharaja of Mysore, Bangalore.

SIR,

With reference to your letter No.2445/Muz.11-28-19 dated 3rd May 1929 forwarding letter No.682 dated 31-3-1929 from the Agent, Sri Sringeri Mutt, I have the honor to state that the Agent sent a copy of that letter to me in reply to a reference made by this office requesting him to intimate the details of amounts paid by him at Koppa Taluq Treasury since January 1929 so that the difference, if any, may be adjusted out of Rs.756-6-0 due to the Mutt. Hence the information called for by you in the above letter will be furnished soon after the details are received.

The proposal made by the Agent, to have the pay bill of the Guard at the Mutt sent to the Deputy Amildar of the Jahagir for disbursement, cannot be accepted as it will amount to the transfer of the control of the men to the Mutt authorities and will go against Military discipline.

The enclosure is herewith returned, as desired.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

C.R.H.P. Landon Lt.Col.
Chief Commandant,
Mysore State Troops.

M.V.
8-5-1929.

RE ATE TROOPS. 19 JUL 1929 MUZRAI

No. Je 38/28-29 Encl one

OFFICE OF THE CHIEF COMMANDANT,

Bangalore, dated 13-6 *192*9

FROM

LT.COL.C.R.H.P.LANDON, C.B.E., D.S.O.,
Chief Commandant, (In charge)
Mysore State Troops, Bangalore.

To

B. Srinivasa Iyengar Esq., B. ., B.L.,
Financial Secretary to Government of his Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore, Bangalore.

14/6

SIR,

In continuation of my letter No.J.510/28-29 dated 10th May 1929, I have the honour to state that the Agent Sri Srimugeri Mutt indicates in his No.210 dated 30th May 1929 (copy enclosed for kind reference) that he is still paying at the rate of .50/- per month. The amount due to the Mutt at the end of April 1929 will be .384-6-0 as detailed in the margin.

Amount due to the Mutt ...		756- 6- 0
Contribution due from the Mutt for 4 months January to April 1929 at .149/- per month.	596-0-0.	
Amount reported to have been paid for 4 months January to April 1929 at .56/- per month.	224- 0-0	
Balance due by the Mutt		372- 0- 0
Net amount due to the Mutt at the of April 1929		384- 6- 0.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Personal Assistant.
to Chief Commandant,
(In charge)
Mysore State Troops.

Letter No.910 dated 29th May 1929 from the Agent Sringeri Mutt Sringeri to the Chief Commandant, Mysore State Troops, Bangalore.

****

With reference to your Memo No.Fl.590 dated 10th Instant and subsequent reminder of the 22nd idem, in regarding payment in respect of Barr Guard at Sringeri, I have the honour to state that contribution at the rate of Rs.50/- per mensem has been credited to the Koppa Taluk Treasury up to end of April 1929, and that the sum contributed from January up to end of April 1929 is Rs.224/- (two hundred and twenty four only)

****

" Copy "

A. Bruce

Personal Assistant,
to Chief Commandant, (In charge)
Mysore State Troops.

SDR

Appendix F G—1

SUBJECT..... .....

.....

.....

Section Income

File No. 11-28

Serial No. 1

No. 124

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *192*

To

The... Agent, ...Sri...Srinagar

Date of issue.....18/2/29.....

Signed by .....Mr T. V.S.....

List of enclosures.....

Copied by.....

Examined by.....

Sir,

Adverting to your letter 682 dy 27 & march 29 Suggesting change of procedure in the disbursement of pay of the Barr Guard doing duty at the mail, I am directed to state that the procedure sugg by you cannot be acce as the C. G. is not agreeable to it, and that the amount due from the mail & the Depty over

As regards the amount of Rs 56-6-0 said to be due to you from the Mail Agent & held in deposit by that Deptt

The enhanced rate be adjusted from the 8 7 due to the Matt.

I have etc.

I am directed to state that the Ch Commr reports that a sum of Rs 372-0 [illegible] by Mr. Matt [illegible] the balance of Rs 384-6-0 after adjusting the above dues.

Jm

No 126 – Mus 11-28-11

Sd/ Secretary

Copy to C.C. [illegible] to his letter no. 71.38 /28-29 the

[illegible] 16/7

13.6.1929.

-127- Mus 11-28-11 Copy to M.C.-

B.O.

[illegible] 16/7

Sd/ Secretary.

[illegible] 16/7/29

MYSORE STATE TROOPS.

Address:
"STATROOPS."

No. 7C 25-B/29.30
Encl one.

FINANCIAL SECTT.
25 JULY 1929
No. V

OFFICE OF THE CHIEF COMMANDANT,
Bangalore, dated 24-7- *1929.*

FROM

The Chief Commandant, (In charge)
Mysore State Troops, Bangalore.

GENL. & REV. SECTT.
26 JULY 1929

GEN. & REV. SECRETARIAT
26 JUL 1929
MUZRAI
22 19.140

Muz 11-28-23

To FINANCIAL BRANCH.

The Financial Secretary
to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja
of Mysore, Bangalore.

SIR,

With reference to your memo No.125/Muz.11-28-23 dated 17-7-1929, regarding the payment of the balance of Rs.384-6-0 due to the Sri Sringeri Mutt, at the end of April 1929, I have the honor to state that payment will be arranged for, after the details called for from the Agent in this office No.Fl.38/28-29 dated 19th July 1929(copy enclosed for kind reference) are received from him.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Chief Commandant,
(In charge)
Mysore State Troops.

SVR JL.

er o. . -
ief Commandant, Mysore State Troops, Bangalore, to the
Agent Sri Sringeri Mutt, Sringeri.

====

In continuation of this office No.Fl.38/28-29 dated 13th June 1929, I have the honor to request you to forward a statement, duly certified by the Treasury Officer, showing the amounts credited to Treasury towards the pay of the Barr Guards doing duty at the Mutt for the six months from January to June 1929 to enable this office to effect necessary adjustments in accounts.

"Copy"

for Chief Commandant I/C
Mysore State Troops.